

Subject: Re: Final Revisions WTC BID Renewal
From: Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>
Date: 04/30/2011 04:14 PM
To: Dennis Rader <dennis.rader@lacity.org>
CC: Rick Scott <rick.scott@lacity.org>, Paul Makowski <paul.makowski@lacity.org>, Miranda Paster <miranda.paster@lacity.org>, "Hinkson, Rosemary" <rosemary.hinkson@lacity.org>
BCC: Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>, Mia Johnstone <miajphoto@yahoo.com>

I hereby resolve to never ever send another document until Dennis has reviewed the data sheet first, no matter how great the time pressure!! (Furthermore, tonight I will sleep on an ant hill in the back yard!)

Re 1):

I can explain. I made a mistake and forgot to update the "MDP" list as I had the "ER" list with the new data you had given me. My son would be advocating "half-credit;" any chance?

The 2 lists came about as a result of the 1st set of City Clerk comments. The "MDP" list is Appendix 1 of the MDP; the "ER" list attaches to the ER.

Both lists have now been properly updated and are hereby re-re-submitted with the changed date index "110430."

Re 2):

The addition of the new parcel came about as a result of the 2nd set of City Clerk comments. Since this re-submittal is the result of that April 5 meeting, you're right, this is the first time it's been included in the documents that you have seen. I believe that the parcel has been correctly included in both the "MDP" and the "ER" data (#120). I will always defer to your records as to the accuracy of the street frontage figure upon which the parcel is assessed; the figure included was provided by Keyser Marston's mapping group. A full justification of the assessment methodology is provided in the MDP & ER documents. The "ER" spreadsheet generates assessments that, I believe, subject to your review and approval, are exactly as shown in the documents.

I hope this gets me out of your "dog house" Dennis. Thanks for catching my error so quickly.

On Fri, Apr 29, 2011 at 2:17 PM, Dennis Rader <dennis.rader@lacity.org> wrote:
Don,
I have a few issues with the latest documents:

- 1) Why does the "ER" data differ from the "MDP" data that you sent? Why are there two versions? One doesn't include any of the ownership/address revisions that I had sent you. Your "MDP list" has all of the old outdated information.
- 2) What is this new parcel [4122-023-917](#)? This has not been on any previous version of the database or map that I have seen. It's still not in the data, only on your "MDP" parcel/owner list, and on your map. How are you coming up with an assessment amount if it's not in the database? In any case, the frontage & lot size have not been reviewed for this parcel.

Please advise.

On Fri, Apr 29, 2011 at 12:25 PM, Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com> wrote:

Here at the final WTC revisions. Let me know as I can assist in any way.
Thank you.

--

Dennis Rader
Technical Research Supervisor
[213-978-1120](tel:213-978-1120)

—Attachments:

2MDP Service Plan Budget 110430.xls	35.5 KB
Westchester Database Renewal #6Z ER Final MDP 8 x 11 110430 333333.xls	530 KB
Westchester Database Renewal #6Z MDP Final MDP 8 x 11 110430 333333.xls	538 KB
2MDP 110430.doc	150 KB

2MDP ER 110430.doc	128 KB
Map #11 110430.pdf	47.2 KB